

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/501,744 | 06/29/2004 | Yoshifumi Kachi | 39.024-AG | 4639 |
| 29453 7: | 590 08/31/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| JUDGE PATENT FIRM | | | PAIK, SANG YEOP | |
| RIVIERE SHU | KUGAWA 3RD FL. | | | |
| 3-1 WAKAMATSU-CHO | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| NISHINOMIYA-SHI, HYOGO, 662-0035 | | | 3742 | |

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/501,744 | KACHI ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Sang Y. Paik | 3742 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 8.1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the did will apply and will expire SIX (6) Months, cause the application to become | a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ | | | | | | |
| • | This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allo | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | drawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam | iner. | | | | | |
| 10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>6/29/04</u> is/are: a) \square | accepted or b) ☐ objected | to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | • | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a | ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No en received in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/29/04. | Paper N | v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/501,744 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the concavity in claim 1 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/501,744

Art Unit: 3742

3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the recited ".001 to .7mm/300mm" is unclear whether the range includes from .001 to .002 (.7/300) or from .001 to .7mm. The recited .7mm/300mm is unclear what it represents. If the range is meant to include from .001 to .7mm in the span of 300mm diameter of the surface of the susceptor, such must be clearly stated to avoid confusion as to what is meant from .7mm/300mm.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Storbeck (US 2002/0023590) in view of Arena (US 5,635,093) or Lorenz et al (US 4,960,978), and Yoshida et al (US 6,080,970).

Storbeck shows a susceptor having a substrate having the concavity larger than .2mm or .5mm over the surface of the substrate having a 300mm diameter and a heater means attached to the substrate to provide the heating. However, Storbeck does not show that the substrate is made of ceramic and that the heater means is made of the resistive heating element.

Arena and Lorenz show that it is known in the art to provide a heating substrate with a metal as well as with a ceramic which provides a good thermal conductivity. Lorenz further

Application/Control Number: 10/501,744

Art Unit: 3742

shows that the heating substrate can be a sheet metal or a ceramic base made of aluminum nitride. Yoshida shows a ceramic substrate made of aluminum nitride with a resistive heating element made of tungsten or molybdenum disposed in the ceramic substrate with a plasma electrode also being disposed in the ceramic substrate.

In view of Arena and Lorenz, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Storbeck with the heating substrate made of a ceramic material such as aluminum nitride since such material is known to alternatively provide a good thermal conductivity in place of the metals, and it would have been obvious to further adapt with the resistive heating element and a plasma electrode as shown in Yoshida to more effectively provide the heating means that can provide a quick and uniform heating of the susceptor while providing increase the plasma density via the plasma electrode to more effectively heat an object such as a wafer placed on the susceptor.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sang Y. Paik whose telephone number is 571-272-4783. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-4:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/501,744 Page 5

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sang Y Paik
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3742

syp